

# On a problem of statistical inference in null recurrent diffusions

Reinhard Höpfner

Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz  
[www.mathematik.uni-mainz.de/~hoepfner](http://www.mathematik.uni-mainz.de/~hoepfner)

Journées MAS, Rennes 2008

## introduction

null recurrent statistical model

type of weak convergence results under null recurrence

main references

## limit theorems for null recurrent Markov processes

special case: recurrent atom

theorem 1

general case

theorem 2

details: accompanying sequences

# introduction: on a statistical problem in null recurrent diffusions

observation: one-dimensional diffusion

$$dX_t = [\vartheta f(X_t) + g(X_t)] dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad t \geq 0$$

observed continuously in time, over a long time interval

- ▶  $\vartheta$  unknown parameter of interest,  $\in \mathbb{R}$ , to be estimated
- ▶  $f(x) := \frac{x}{1+x^2}$  known function s.t.  $f(x) \sim \frac{1}{x}$  as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$
- ▶  $g$  unknown nuisance function with support in some known compact

recurrence / transience properties: the process  $X$  is

- ▶ transient if  $\vartheta \in (\frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \infty)$ , recurrent else
- ▶ positive recurrent (ergodic) if  $\vartheta \in (-\infty, -\frac{\sigma^2}{2})$

restrict to null recurrent submodel  $\Theta := \left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}, +\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)$  on which

$$m^{\vartheta, g}(dx) \sim \xi_{\pm}^{\vartheta, g} |x|^{\frac{2\vartheta}{\sigma^2}} dx \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \pm\infty$$

invariant measure of infinite total mass.

statistical questions:

- ▶ good estimators for  $\vartheta$  in the null recurrent model  $\Theta$ 
  - ▶ in the parametric model only ( $g \equiv 0$ )
  - ▶ semiparametric (unknown  $g \in \mathcal{H}_c$ , bd mb fcts with supp in  $[-c, c]$ )
- ▶ speed of convergence, limit laws, efficiency bounds
  - ▶ via local asymptotic mixed normality (LAMN)
  - ▶ via least favorable one-parametric submodels and local asymptotic minimax bounds

( $\longrightarrow$  H. and Kutoyants 2003)

probabilistic questions:

need local models, likelihood ratio processes, convergence of score and information; however: basic assumption in martingale limit theorems (→ Jacod and Shiryaev 1987)

- ▶ sequences of martingales  $(M_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$  such that for every  $t$  fixed angle bracketts  $\langle M^n \rangle_t$  converge *in probability* as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

is not satisfied: we do have not more than convergence in law

but: in this model very comfortable situation where weak convergence results are available, based on *recurrent cycles* (perhaps artificially associated through a sequence of accompanying Harris processes)

- ▶ regular variation: resolvent of the semigroup, tails of invariant measure, norming functions, tails of life cycle length distributions ...
- ▶ weak convergence in  $\mathbb{D}$ : additive functionals, martingales, ...

(→ H. and Löcherbach 2003)

in general null recurrent models, *weak convergence is not available*, but *tightness rates for additive functionals* do exist (→ Loukianova and Loukianov 2008, Löcherbach and Loukianova 2008)

type of weak convergence results for estimators under null recurrence:

need *stable increasing process*  $S^\alpha$  of index  $0 < \alpha < 1$ :

- ▶ all paths càdlàg nondecreasing,  $S_0^\alpha = 0$
- ▶  $S^\alpha$  PIIS with  $E(e^{-\lambda S_t^\alpha}) = e^{-t \lambda^\alpha}$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$

and *Mittag-Leffler process*  $W^\alpha$  defined as the process inverse of  $S^\alpha$

- ▶  $W_t^\alpha := \inf\{v : S_v^\alpha > t\}$ ,  $t \geq 0$
- ▶ all paths continuous and nondecreasing,  $W_0^\alpha = 0$

then for some  $\alpha = \alpha(\vartheta)$  in  $(0, 1)$  and for Brownian motion  $B$  subject to *independent* time change  $t \rightarrow W_t^\alpha$ , good estimators for  $\vartheta$  are such that

$$n^{\alpha/2} \left( \tilde{\vartheta}_{\cdot n} - \vartheta \right) \longrightarrow C(\vartheta, g) \frac{B(W^\alpha)}{W^\alpha}$$

(weak convergence in  $\mathbb{D}$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , under  $P_{\vartheta, g}$ )

main references for this talk:

- ▶ statistical theory of LAMN: LeCam 1968, Hájek 1970, Jeganathan 1982, Davies 1985, LeCam and Yang 1990  
(H.: *Asymptotische Statistik*, in preparation, on my homepage)
- ▶ additive functionals in null recurrent Markov processes: Darling and Kac 1957, Bingham and Goldie and Teugels 1987, Khasminskii 1980
- ▶ weak convergence for semimartingales: Jacod and Shiryaev 1987
- ▶ limit theorems for martingale additive functionals: Greenwood and Resnick 1979, Touati 1988, H. and Löcherbach 2003
- ▶ statistical applications: (H. 1990+1993), H. and Kutoyants 2003

## limit theorems for null recurrent Markov processes

( $\longrightarrow$  Touati 1988,  $\longrightarrow$  H. and Löcherbach 2003)

assumption:  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  càdlàg, strongly Markov, invariant measure  $\mu$ , Polish state space  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ ,  $X$  is recurrent (Harris)

$$A \in \mathcal{E}, \mu(A) > 0 : \int_0^\infty 1_A(X_s) ds = \infty \text{ a.s. } (\forall \text{ starting pt } x)$$

where  $\mu$  has *infinite* total mass:  $\mu(E) = \infty$

aim: consider  $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{M}^{2,loc}(\mathbb{F}^X)$  with  $E_\mu(\langle M \rangle_1) < \infty$

- ▶  $M$  is local martingale and additive functional of  $X$
- ▶ angle bracket  $\langle M \rangle$  is an *integrable* additive functional of  $X$

and prove limit theorems (weak convergence in  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ ) for pairs

$$(M_{tn}, \langle M \rangle_{tn})_{t \geq 0}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$



## special case: recurrent atom

assumption:  $X$  admits a *recurrent atom*  $A$

- ▶  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mu(A) > 0$
- ▶  $\sigma_A := \inf\{t : X_t \in A\}$ ,  $\tau_A := \inf\{t : X_t \notin A\}$  are  $\mathbb{F}$ -stopping times
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}(X_{\tau_A} | X_0 = x) =: \rho_A$  does not depend on starting pt  $x$

assumption: there is a *life cycle decomposition*  $(R_n)_n$ :  $R_0 = 0$ , for  $n \geq 1$

- ▶  $R_n < \infty$  a.s.,  $R_n = R_{n-1} + R_1 \circ \theta_{R_{n-1}}$  a.s.
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}(X_{R_n}) = \rho_A$
- ▶  $(X_{R_n+s})_{s \geq 0}$  independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{R_n}^-$

then: decompose trajectory of  $X$  into iid segments

$$X 1_{[[R_n, R_{n+1}[[}, n \geq 1$$

(plus some initial segment)  $\leftrightarrow$  theorem:

**theorem 1** : if as  $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$(*1) \quad \int_0^t P(R_2 - R_1 > s) ds \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} t^{1-\alpha} \ell(t)$$

for some function  $\ell(\cdot)$  slowly varying at  $\infty$ , some  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , then

$$\left( \sqrt{\frac{\ell(n)}{n^\alpha}} M_{\cdot n}, \frac{\ell(n)}{n^\alpha} \langle M \rangle_{\cdot n} \right) \longrightarrow \left( J^{1/2} B(W^\alpha), J W^\alpha \right)$$

(weak convergence in  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) where

$$(*2) \quad J := E(\langle M \rangle_{R_2} - \langle M \rangle_{R_1}) = cst \cdot E_\mu(\langle M \rangle_1)$$

**remarks** : i) null recurrent cases with  $\alpha = 1$  do exist: here  $S^\alpha = id = W^\alpha$  deterministic, as under ergodicity

ii) regular variation (\*1) with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  is a necessary condition for weak convergence to nontrivial continuous limit processes (by Darling and Kac 1957)

scheme of proof: i)  $(R_n)_n \leftrightarrow$  iid segments in trajectory of  $X \leftrightarrow$  classical sums of iid random variables

ii) convergence of bivariate random walks

and attraction to  $(B, S^\alpha)$  (Greenwood and Resnick 1978): here

Brownian motion  $B$  and stable process  $S^\alpha$  are necessarily independent

ii) weak convergence in Skorohod paths spaces  $\mathbb{D}$ , time change arguments □

## general case: recurrent atoms do not exist ...

method used in H. and Löcherbach 2003: we construct  $X$  jointly with an accompanying sequence of processes  $X^m = (X_t^m)_{t \geq 0}$  such that

- ▶ except on suitably defined intervals of independent exponential length  $[[\tau_k^m, \tau_{k+1}^m[[$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , 'more and more sparse' as  $m$  grows to  $\infty$ , the trajectory of  $X^m$  coincides with the trajectory of  $X$
- ▶ for every  $m$ ,  $X^m$  is
  - ▶ a functional of  $X$  with respect to the filtration  $\mathbb{F}^X$
  - ▶ strongly Markov with respect to its own past, Harris recurrent
  - ▶ we can define a recurrent atom and a life cycle decomposition
- ▶ for every  $m$ , we can apply theorem 1 to the process  $X^m$ , with certain  $(R_n^m)_n$ , and with certain constants depending on  $m$

then as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , controlling for every  $m$  fluctuations of  $\mathbb{F}^X$ -martingales on the exceptional intervals  $[[\tau_k^m, \tau_{k+1}^m[[$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , 'more and more sparse' as  $m$  grows to  $\infty$ , we generalize theorem 1 to general Harris processes  $X$ :

**theorem 2** : the conclusion of theorem 1

$$\left( \sqrt{\frac{\ell(n)}{n^\alpha}} M_{\cdot n}, \frac{\ell(n)}{n^\alpha} \langle M \rangle_{\cdot n} \right) \longrightarrow \left( J^{1/2} B(W^\alpha), J W^\alpha \right)$$

(weak convergence in  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) holds with

$$J = E_\mu(\langle M \rangle_1)$$

under the following condition on the resolvent of  $X$ : as  $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$(*3) \quad (R_{1/t}g)(x) := E_x \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-(1/t)v} g(X_v) dv \right) \sim \frac{1}{\ell(t)} t^\alpha \mu(g)$$

for some  $g(\cdot)$  nonnegative and  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable, and for  $\mu$ -a.a.  $x \in E$ .

**remark** :  $(*3) \iff (*1)$  whenever the process has life cycles

## more on the accompanying sequence $X^m$ , $m \geq 1$ , for $X$ :

i) embed  $X$  into a richer Harris structure  $\mathbb{X}$ :

- ▶ prepare iid exponential waiting times  $\sigma_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , independent of  $X$   
 $\hookrightarrow$  grid of random times  $\tau_n \uparrow \infty$  independent of  $X$ ,  $\tau_n := \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$
- ▶ discrete-time chain  $(X_{\tau_n})_n$  has one-step t.p.

$$U^1(x, dy) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} P_t(x, dy) dt$$

- ▶ for some  $\alpha > 0$ , some 'small' set  $C \in \mathcal{E}$  with  $\mu(C) > 0$ , some probability measure  $\nu \sim \mu(\cdot \cap C)$ , Nummelin's splitting condition holds:

$$(+)$$

$$U^1(x, dy) \geq \alpha 1_C(x) \nu(dy)$$

- ▶ prepare  $U_i, V_j$  iid  $\sim \mathcal{R}(0, 1)$ , independent of  $X$ , and define a new Harris process (which contains  $X$  as first component) by

$$\mathbb{X} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1_{[[\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}[[}(t) (X_t, X_{\tau_n}, U_n, V_n)$$

- ▶ 'identify'  $X$  with the new process  $\mathbb{X}$

ii) define  $X^m$  from  $X$ :

- ▶ need third components  $(U_n)_n$  in  $\mathbb{X}$ , and set  $C$  of splitting condition (+)
- ▶ on intervals  $[[\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}[[$  where  $X_{\tau_n} \in C$  and  $U_n < 2^{-m}$ , freeze trajectory

$$\mathbb{X} 1_{[[\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}[[} = ((X_t, X_{\tau_n}, U_n, V_n) 1_{[[\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}[[}(t))_{t \geq 0}$$

to its value at time  $\tau_n$

$$\mathbb{X}^m 1_{[[\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}[[} := ((X_{\tau_n}, X_{\tau_n}, U_n, V_n) 1_{[[\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}[[}(t))_{t \geq 0}$$

- ▶ on all other intervals  $[[\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}[[$ , do nothing:

$$\mathbb{X}^m 1_{[[\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}[[} := \mathbb{X} 1_{[[\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}[[}$$

- ▶ write  $[[\tau_k^m, \tau_{k+1}^m[[$  for the  $k$ th interval on which  $\mathbb{X}^m$  is different from  $\mathbb{X}$

(whenever possible, write for short  $X^m$  for  $\mathbb{X}^m$ ,  $m \geq 1$ )

call freezing intervals  $[[\tau_k^m, \tau_{k+1}^m[[$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , *exceptional* since

- ▶ with increasing  $m$ , freezing becomes more and more sparse
- ▶ a given  $[[\tau_k^m, \tau_{k+1}^m[[$ , freezing interval in the process  $\mathbb{X}^m$ , will remain a freezing interval for the process  $\mathbb{X}^{m+1}$  w.pr.  $\frac{1}{2}$

view  $\mathbb{X}^m$  as functional of  $\mathbb{X}$ : trajectories of  $\mathbb{X}^m$  approach those of  $\mathbb{X}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$

iii)  $\mathbb{X}^m$  is a Harris process in its own right:

- ▶ in  $\mathbb{X}^m$ , random times  $\tau_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , occur at constant rate 1
- ▶ in  $\mathbb{X}^m$ , at the end of an exceptional interval  $[[\tau_k^m, \tau_{k+1}^m[[$ , successor state for  $X_{\tau_n}$  is selected according to  $U^1(X_{\tau_n}, \cdot)$
- ▶ with respect to its own past,  $\mathbb{X}^m$  is strongly Markov, is recurrent (Harris), and visits  $C \times C \times (0, 2^{-m}) \times (0, 1)$  infinitely often on the time grid  $\tau_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$



iv) in the Harris process  $\mathbb{X}^m$ ,  $\exists$  recurrent atom and life cycle decomposition:  
 need forth components  $(V_n)_n$  of  $\mathbb{X}^m$ , and  $C, \alpha, \nu$  of splitting condition (+)  
 on all *exceptional intervals*

$$[[\tau_k^m, \tau_{k+1}^m[[ =: [[\tau_\ell, \tau_{\ell+1}[[, \quad k \geq 1$$

we have independent exponential clock running up to time  $\tau_{\ell+1}$ , have  $X_{\tau_\ell}$  as current value, and do Nummelin splitting ( $\longrightarrow$  Nummelin 1978) at time  $\tau_{\ell+1}-$ :

- ▶ if  $V_\ell < \alpha$ , select  $X_{\tau_{\ell+1}}$  according to  $\nu(dy)$
- ▶ else, select  $X_{\tau_{\ell+1}}$  according to the law  $\frac{1}{1-\alpha}(U^1(X_{\tau_\ell}, dy) - \alpha\nu(dy))$

thus  $A = C \times C \times (0, 2^{-m}) \times (0, \alpha)$  becomes a recurrent atom, and

- ▶  $\{V_\ell < \alpha\}$  at time  $\tau_{\ell+1}-$  prescribes beginning of a new life cycle at  $\tau_{\ell+1}$
- ▶ at such  $\tau_{\ell+1}$ , the starting value  $X_{\tau_{\ell+1}} \sim \nu(dy)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_{\ell+1}-}$

$\hookrightarrow$  trajectory of  $\mathbb{X}^m$  decomposed into iid segments (plus initial segment) □